Preserve

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.
San 35-15-88 1/2 B. Dec 1915

File No. 35-15

Serial Nos. 3+4

1916.

(Sanitary)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3+4 ✓

Part B for April 1916

SUBJECT.

Communication from the Deputy Commissioner Chittagong Dt. pointing out the inconvenience of the direction contained in the Govt order to consult the District Sanitary officer only at a late stage in the matter of extension or removal of Village Sites.

To The Deputy Commr. Chittagong Dt.
No 7990. dt. 20.4.16

Points out the correctness of the Govt order in the matter of extension or removal of Village Sites and issues certain instructions in the matter of consultation with the District Sanitary officers ~~in the matter~~

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

Secretariat
15.4.16

5/5

G. R. S. 11] 1915-16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Sanitary)

NOTES.

File No. 35-16

FILE HEADING.

Consultation of District Sanitary officers by the Revenue authorities re. the suitability of the village sites.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Chittagong District.

No. 439 Dated 8.3.16 Received 10.3.16

Diary No. 3252	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the Sanitary Commissioner has been instructed not to make any references themselves direct to the District Sanitary officers in the matter of extension or removal of the village and that the Commissioner in the first instance in order to consult the District Sanitary officer only when the case is likely to be inconvenient in practice.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Sanitary Commissioner suggests that the District Sanitary officer may with advantage be consulted by the Revenue Authority for the selection of sites either for the formation of new villages or the extension of the existing villages, when the case has been dealt with in all its

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Sm. File No. 35 of 1915-16 | 2

Serial No.

Memorandum as to: An order was accordingly issued (vide last proc. No. 4506-15 Sm 35-15-1 dated 22.12.15) The Dy Commr explains that the above procedure causes much inconvenience.

Submitted for orders.

14.3.16 1573

No. 110. 16 dt 15 917. 3. 16.

2 Forwarded unofficially to the Sanitary Commissioner, with Compliments for favour of his remarks on the ~~proc~~ views urged by the Deputy Comr, Chittagong dt.

Reports.

By memorandum

17/3/16

for Secy to Govt
Genl Dept

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Gen. File No. 35 of 1915-16

IV/22/3/4

Serial No.

No. G-81. 21/07 Q1. Branch 1916.

3) ---- I do not see how calling in the District Sanitary Officer at the initial or intermediate stages would help matters. If the choice of a site will have to depend on various causes - chief among which are scruples or prejudices of the people - it certainly is the more reason why expert opinion should be deferred to a late stage, a stage at which the question assumes a definite, practical shape. Nevertheless, if specific examples are given of the manner in which the operation of the Government order has till now hampered activities in this direction, Government might consider whether and in what respects it would be desirable to amend the order.

2. There is, however, no objection to the local authorities unofficially consulting the District Sanitary Officer on such questions on the spot, availing themselves of his necessarily numerous visits to the neighbourhood. But, special site inspection, such as are necessary in cases of questions completely developed, are best ordered by the Deputy Commissioner himself or should be undertaken only on requisitions of Assistant Commissioners.

22/3

J. T. Cunningham

Sanitary Commissioner.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Gen File No. 35 of 1915-16

Serial No.

4 Submitted for orders with the unofficial opinion of the Sanitary Commissioner -

22/4

23.3.16 Draft saying that the Dt. Sanitary Officer has to be consulted under the G.O of 22-12-15 after the question of extension or removal has been finally decided upon & at the time of selecting one or other of new alternative sites -

6/ The objection is to ~~select~~ Consult the Sanitary Officer before even the extension or removal is actually decided upon, & when there is a likelihood of the idea falling through & the visits of the Sanitary Officer end in nothing

Hoo
5/4

7) Draft submitted for consideration

Hoo
6.4.16 18/4/16.

10/4 Extraordinary member

8) Typed draft as revised ~~by~~ and approved by the Extraordinary Member put up -

22.4.16.

9) Hoo
25/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	Sam. File No. 35 of 1915-16.

Serial No.	
4	10) To the Dy. Comr. Chitaldrug District. Letter No. 7990 dated 28.4.16. Issues instructions on the points raised in Pl. 3.
	11) To the Sanitary Commissioner. Memo No. 7991 dated 28.4.16 forwards copy of Pl. 4 for information.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

No 4439

16

D 3292

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 8th March 1916.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A., Lany 35-15-3
Deputy Commissioner,
C H I T A L D R U G.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

Adverting to Government order No.4506-15/San.35-15-2 dated 22nd December 1915 directing that all references to Sanitary Officers in the matter of selection of sites should be made by an officer not lower in rank than an Assistant Commissioner in charge of a Taluk or Sub Division and that the former officers should be consulted after the final decision for the extension or removal of the village and before taking proceedings for the acquisition of the site, I have the honor to state that the Amildars have been instructed not to make any references themselves direct to the District Sanitary Officers in such matters and that such instances should be brought to the notice of the Assistant Commissioners in charge of the Taluk or to this office for needful action.

2. As for seeking the opinion of the District Sanitary Officers at a definite stage and particularly at the late stage prescribed in the Government order, I beg to represent with deference that such a course is neither generally possible nor convenient. In the case of insanitary villages, the District Sanitary officer has to be consulted to decide whether the village has to be entirely removed or whether other improvements can be effected to remedy the insanitation. If it is decided that the village has to be shifted the site required for the formation of the new village has to be selected by the local officers in consultation with the people and in conformity to their wishes as far as possible. The District Sanitary Officer's opinion as to whether the site selected is

GPB—50,000—25-9-15

19

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

Dated

Despatched 8th March 1916.

Received

General No. 439

No.

Enclosure No

Stating that the Amildars have been instructed not to make any references to District Sanitary Officers in the matter of selection of sites.

suited for building purposes has to be obtained before a final decision is reached about the change. Sometimes the people in rural parts have prejudices and scruples to shift to particular sites which are otherwise suitable and if regard is not paid to such considerations the removal of the village becomes impossible It is not uncommon to find the villagers putting forward objectic on the part of their village deities to particular sites or condemning certain sites as inauspicious or unlucky. Any amount of advice on the part of the local officers to overcome such prejudices is often of little avail.

3. Even in the case of the extension of villages such difficulties often occur. Some villagers have scruples to extend the village towards the South and some towards the West. It is therefore necessary to consult the Sanitary Officers in regard to more than one site while the matter is still under discussion with the village people. It is only in this way that a practical solution can be arrived making as good a compromise as possible between the purely sanitary point of view and other consideration and interests that cannot be ignored.

4. The final decision to extend or remove the village is thus largely dependent on the suitability of the site selected or available from all points of view and I therefore beg to submit that the direction in the Government order to consult the Distric Sanitary Officer only at a late stage is likely to be inconvenien

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

G. R. S. 26] 26/4

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 26.4.16.

Signed by Mrs. V. Ramanathan

No. 7990

San 35-15-4

8/28.4.16.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 439 dated 8th March 1916 representing that the direction contained in G.O. No. 4506-15/San.35-15-3 dated 22nd December 1915 as to the stage at which the Sanitary Officer is to be consulted in regard to the extension or removal of a village is likely to be inconvenient in practice, I am directed to state that it is not understood how calling in the District Sanitary Officer

over.

SUBJECT.

File 2 27/4

will be of any use at the initial stage before it is definitely decided in consultation with the villagers to extend or remove the village. If the choice of a site depends on various causes, chief among which are scruples or prejudices of the people, it certainly is the more reason why expert opinion should be deferred to a late stage, a stage at which the question assumes a definite practical shape. Neverthless, if after some experience, it is found that the order hampers activities in the direction of extension or removal of villages and specific examples are given thereof, it will be considered whether the order should be amended and if so, in what respects.

I am to add that where the District Sanitary Officer's opinion is required regarding the sanitary condition of a village and the measures to be taken for improving the same, the order does not prohibit a reference being made to him. But special site inspections are

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-13

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

best made only where the question of extension or removal has developed. There is however no objection to the local Revenue authorities consulting the District Sanitary Officer on the spot, on such questions, availing themselves of his necessarily numerous visits to the neighbourhood.

I have &c.

Secretary to Government,
Genl. Deptt.

22.4.16

799/San35-15-4 28.4.16.

Copy forwarded to the Sanitar Commissioner for information.

B.O.

Secretary to Govt.
Genl. Deptt.

GPB—20,000—7-7-14